

## UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA 92055-5381 OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE 1ST MARINE DIVISON (REIN) BOX 555381

31 mar 14 SJAIN REPLY REFER TO:

1st Marine Division

子 () Commanding Officer, Headquarters Staff Judge Advocate, and Service Battalion, Marine

Corps Base Camp Pendleton

Subj: RECOMMENDATION AS TO DISPOSITION ICO UNITED STATES V.

CORPORAL RICHARD A. KEARNEY, USMC

NCIS Investigation (13JUN13-CALE-0566-8SMA/T)

(d RTC/HQE Memo dtd 28 Mar 14

- Victim Declination Memo dtd 06 Mar 14
- the appearance of a conflict in this case, I am doing the review your command. I concur with the Regional Trial Counsel (RTC) and Highly Qualified Expert (HQE) in reference (b) that charges in the Judge Advocate, Marine Corps Installations-West (MCI-W). The MCI-W SJA would have normally done the review for your command, but due to 1. I have thoroughly analyzed reference (a) for prosecutorial merit This matter was sent to me for SJA review due to the fact that Lance in this case. case should not be preferred and that no further action is warranted Corporal Kearney is currently assigned to the Office of the Staff reference (b) that charges in this
- during the relevant time-frame. I believe that military prosecutors have made all reasonable efforts to secure the victim's participation sexual relationship between the victim and Lance Corporal Kearney during the relevant time-frame. I believe that military prosecut witnesses forensic evidence was able of approximately two years that she no longer wishes to participate in the military justice in this case. Reference (c) is the victim's written communication in this matter in reference (a) support evidence of a possible consensual at court-martial. Without her participation, there are no viable charges in Due to a delay in reporting by the victim from the time of the alleged incident, no to be secured and analyzed. Also, multiple
- state of the evidence does not support that the allegations more likely occurred than not. I may be reached at (760)725-5972 if you have any questions about this matter. lack of victim participation, I also do not recommend processing Lance Because of the overall evidentiary weakness of the case, and the Kearney for administrative separation in this matter.